Research Article

Quantitative evaluation of the orofacial morphology: Anthropometric measurements in healthy and mouth-breathing children

Débora Marins Cattoni (*University of Sao Paulo*)

Fernanda Dreux Miranda Fernandes (*University of Sao Paulo*)

Renata Cantisani Di Francesco (*University of Sao Paulo*)

Maria Do Rosário Dias De Oliveira Latorre (*University of Sao Paulo*)

Suggested Citation

Cattoni, D. M., et al. (2009). Quantitative evaluation of the orofacial morphology: Anthropometric measurements in healthy and mouth-breathing children. *International Journal of Orofacial Myology*, 35(1), 44-54.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52010/ijom.2009.35.1.4>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](#).

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the policies or positions of the International Association of Orofacial Myology (IAOM). Identification of specific products, programs, or equipment does not constitute or imply endorsement by the authors or the IAOM. The journal in which this article appears is hosted on [Digital Commons](#), an Elsevier platform.

QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF THE OROFACIAL MORPHOLOGY: ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS IN HEALTHY AND MOUTH-BREATHING CHILDREN

**DÉBORA MARTINS CATTONI, PHD
FERNANDA DREUX MIRANDA FERNANDES,
RENATA CANTISANI DI FRANCESCO, PHD
MARIA DO ROSÁRIO DIAS DE OLIVEIRA LATORRE**

ABSTRACT

The anthropometric orofacial measurements of mouth-breathing children were compared to those of children with no history of speech-language disorders, according to age. Methods: 100 children participated, both males and females, with ages ranging from 7 to 11 years and 11 months, leukoderm, in mixed dentition period, with a mouth-breathing diagnosis. The control group was comprised of 254 children, of both sexes, with ages ranging from 7 to 11 years and 11 months, leukoderm, in mixed dentition period, with no history of speech-language disorders. The control group did not demonstrate any mouth-breathing. The children were submitted to anthropometric assessment and the orofacial measurements obtained were upper lip, lower lip, philtrum, upper face, middle face, lower face, and sides of the face. The instrument used was the electronic digital sliding caliper Starrett Series 727. There was statistically significant difference between the majority of the orofacial measurements of mouth-breathing children and the measurements of children with no history of speech-language disorders. Some orofacial measurements were different in the studied populations. The possibility of comparing orofacial measurements of children with and without mouth-breathing behavior allows the clinician to determine normal and altered structures of the orofacial morphology. The main advantages of the anthropometry are its noninvasive nature, its technological simplicity, low cost and objective analysis. The anthropometric procedures also have clinical applications in myofunctional assessment and therapy.

KEYWORDS: Anthropometry, Measures, Face, Stomatognathic system, Mouth breathing, Children, Mixed dentition.

INTRODUCTION

Many morphologic adaptations of the stomatognathic system, such as short upper lip, malocclusion, narrow hard palate, and dental arch, long lower face, can frequently be observed in mouth-breathing individuals (Andrade et al., 2005; Di Francesco, 2003a; Jardini, 1999; Junqueira et al., 2002; Lessa et al., 2005; Marchesan, 2000; Rodrigues et al., 2005; Seikel et al., 2005; Tessitore, 2004). Morphologic adaptations demonstrate the importance of investigating the height of orofacial structures from mouth-breathing children and compare them to the norms of children without functional disorders.

The knowledge acquired from anthropometry helps during the evaluation of the orofacial morphology and increases the precision of the obtained data and the accuracy of the analysis. The use of the caliper among speech-language pathologists is becoming more frequent because professionals wish to obtain quantitative and objective data from their clinic procedures (Bianchini, 2000; Cattoni, 2003a, 2004, 2006a, 2006b; Cattoni et al., 2003, 2005; Cattoni, Fernandes, 2004, 2005; Jardini, 1999, 2005; Junqueira, 2004; Marchesan, 1997, 1998, 2004; Pierotti, 2004; Quintal et al., 2004; Rísoli, Bacha, 1998; Rodrigues et al., 2003; Silva, Cunha, 2003).

The anthropometric landmarks used to determine orofacial measurements are: glabella (g), which is the most prominent midline point between the eyebrows; trichion (tr), located on the hairline in the midline of the forehead; subnasal (sn), which is the midpoint of the angle at the columella base where the lower border of nasal septum and the surface of the upper lip meet; labiale superius (ls), located on the midpoint of upper vermillion line; stomion (sto), which is the imaginary point located at the crossing of the vertical facial midline and the horizontal labial fissure between gently closed lips; gnathion (gn), which is the lowest median landmark on the lower border of the mandible, exocanthion (ex), located at the outer commissure of the eye fissure; and cheilion (ch), which is the point located at each labial commissure (Farkas, 1994a).

Measurement norms for the face from healthy children and adults are available in the literature (Bianchini, 1998a; Budai et al., 2003; Farkas et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1994a, 2003; Gregoret, 1999; Langlade, 1995; Proffit, 1995; Rodrigues, 2000; Suguino et al., 1996). Recently, some studies investigated the orofacial measurements of Brazilian children without functional disorders (Cattoni, 2003a, 2003b, 2006b; Cattoni et al., 2003, 2005; Cattoni, Fernandes, 2004, 2005).

The focus of this research was to demonstrate differences of orofacial measurements between the studied populations. The purpose of the study was to compare the anthropometric orofacial measurements of mouth-breathing children with those of children with no history of speech-language disorders, according to age.

METHODS

This research was approved by Ethics Committee (CAPPesq) of Hospital das Clínicas and Medical School of the University of Sao Paulo (protocol number 096/04). All the legal guardians of the children signed the informed consent form (ICF). 100 mouth-breathing children were evaluated, ranging in age from seven to 11 years and 11 months, with 51 (51%) males

and 49 (49%) females. The mean age was 8 years and 5 months and the median was 8 years. Children were divided according to age: 31 children (31%) from 7 years to 7 years and 11 months; 22 children (22%) from 8 years to 8 years and 11 months; 21 children (21%) from 9 years to 9 years and 11 months; 12 children (12%) from 10 years to 10 years and 11 months; 14 children (14%) from 11 years to 11 years and 11 months.

The inclusion criteria of the children in this study were: mouth-breathing otolaryngologic diagnosis, carried out by the otorhinolaryngologist; functional alteration of breathing; leukoderma; mixed dentition period with four permanent first molars completely erupted. The exclusion criteria of the children in this study were history of speech-language pathology treatment (current and/or previous); history of facial and/or pharyngeal surgery; history of a syndrome and/or neurological disease and/or bifid uvula; history of orthodontics and/or facial orthopedics and/or craniomandibular treatments (actual and/or previous) and/or temporomandibular joint dysfunction (TJD).

The instrument used to obtain the anthropometric orofacial measurements was an electronic digital sliding caliper Starrett Series 727, made in Brazil. The protocol used to collect the data was published by Cattoni, (2006).

During data collection the children were asked to remain seated with both feet on the floor, with the head in resting position and closed lips, with teeth together in their natural position. The anthropometric orofacial measurements obtained (See Fig. 1) from each child included: height of the upper lip (from the subnasal to the stomion or sn-sto); height of lower lip (from the stomion to the gnathion or sto-gn); length of the philtrum (from the subnasal to the labiale superius or sn-ls), height of the upper face (from the trichion to the glabella or tr-g), height of the middle face (from the glabella to the subnasal or g-sn), height of the lower face (from the subnasal to the gnathion or sn-gn), right side of the face (from the exocanthion to the cheilion or ex-ch) and left side of the face (from the exocanthion to the cheilion or ex-ch).

Figure 1. Anthropomorphic Landmarks

Figure 1 – Landmarks on the surface of the face (tr = trichion, g = glabella, ex = exocanthion, sn = subnasal, ls = labiale superius, sto = stomion, ch = cheilion e gn = gnathion)

The examiner sat in front of the child during the data collection and documented the child's at rest position of the head. The landmarks used in the surface measurements were identified by palpation. Each measurement was taken twice and the average recorded. The hard tips of the sliding caliper touched the skin surface but pressure was not applied. The procedure for each child took 15 minutes. The measurements of the mouth-breathing children were compared to those for the control group (Cattoni, 2003a).

The control group was composed of 254 children with ages between 7 years and 11 years and 11 months, being 137 boys and 117 girls, attending private schools of the city of Sao Paulo. Children were divided according to age: 48 children between 7 years and 7 years and 11 months; 52 children between 8 years and 8 years and 11 months; 50 children between 9 years and 9 years and 11 months; 54 children between 10 years and 10 years and 11 months and 50 children between 11 years and 11 years and 11 months.

Statistical analysis

The analysis of the comparison between mouth-breathing children with the control group children was completed using t-Student test. All analyses were processed with SPSS for Windows version 12.0 and the considered level of significance was 0.05%.

RESULTS

For the control group, 2,032 measurements were taken and analyzed. For the sample of mouth-breathing children, 800 measurements were taken and analyzed. The total orofacial measurements taken and analyzed in this study were 3,184. The information in Table 1, indicates that the mean of the height of the upper lip (sn-sto) for mouth-breathing children was statistically higher than the control group, for 8 to 10 year olds ($p < 0.05$). In reference to lower lip (sto-gn), it was observed that there was significant difference among the averages from children of the two studied populations with ages ranging from 7 to 9 years ($p < 0.05$).

05). There was no statistical difference for the length of the philtrum (sn-ls) between the studied populations, for all ages ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2, provides the averages from the height of the thirds of the face, according to age, for both groups. There was no significant statistical difference among the means of the height of the upper face (tr-g) and the middle face (g-sn) between the studied populations, for all ages ($p > 0.05$). The mean values of the height of the lower face (sn-gn) of mouth-breathing children were statistically higher than the averages from control group children, from 7 to 9 year olds ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3 provides information on the measurements for the sides of the face (ex-ch), according to age for both groups. On the right side of the face (ex-ch), there was statistically significant difference for 9 year old children ($p < 0.05$), with the mean value for mouth-breathing children being statistically greater than the mean for the control group. There was no significant statistically significant difference for the remaining age groups ($p > 0.05$). On the left side of the face (ex-ch), the averages for the control group were statistically lower than averages for the mouth-breathing children, for 7 to 10 year olds ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant statistical difference in 11 year old children ($p > 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The landmarks and the orofacial measurements considered in this study were a part of those proposed in previous research articles (Farkas, 1994a; Farkas et al., 1992a, 1992b, 2003; Gregoret, 1999; Suguino et al., 1996) which provided data about orofacial morphology. The researchers chose the methodology described by classical anthropometric studies (Farkas et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1994a; Farkas, Posnick, 1992; Ward, 1989; Ward et al., 1998, 2000), which included the head being positioned in the rest position with closed lips during the process of determining facial anthropometric measurements (Farkas et al., 1992a; Farkas, 1994a; Hunter, 1996; Shaner et al., 1998).

Table 1. Comparison of the orofacial measurements from nasolabial region, according to age

Age	N	Control group Mean (mm)	N	Mouth-breathing children Mean (mm)	p
Upper lip (sn-sto)					
7	48	18.09	31	18.91	p>0.05
8	52	17.90	22	19.42	p<0.05
9	50	18.13	21	19.65	p<0.05
10	54	18.05	12	20.22	p<0.05
11	50	18.31	14	19.02	p>0.05
Lower lip (sto-gn)					
7	48	38.43	31	40.90	p<0.05
8	52	38.68	22	41.25	p<0.05
9	50	39.19	21	41.55	p<0.05
10	54	39.99	12	42.02	p>0.05
11	50	41.61	14	42.55	p>0.05
Philtrum (sn-ls)					
7	48	13.19	31	13.72	p>0.05
8	52	13.07	22	14.27	p>0.05
9	50	12.71	21	13.86	p>0.05
10	54	13.24	12	14.21	p>0.05
11	50	13.30	14	13.91	p>0.05

Legend: N=number of subjects; mm= millimeters; p: t-Student test

Table 2. Comparison of the facial thirds, according to age

Age	N	Control group Mean (mm)	N	Mouth-breathing children Mean (mm)	p
Upper face (tr-g)					
7	48	53.63	31	55.91	p>0.05
8	52	54.91	22	57.41	p>0.05
9	50	54.93	21	56.82	p>0.05
10	54	57.06	12	54.93	p>0.05
11	50	56.47	14	56.28	p>0.05
Middle face (g-sn)					
7	48	48.83	31	49.84	p>0.05
8	52	50.22	22	50.50	p>0.05
9	50	51.81	21	52.46	p>0.05
10	54	52.60	12	52.63	p>0.05
11	50	53.73	14	54.05	p>0.05
Lower face (sn-g)					
7	48	57.35	31	59.83	p<0.05
8	52	57.56	22	61.37	p<0.05
9	50	58.28	21	61.86	p<0.05
10	54	59.92	12	62.54	p>0.05
11	50	60.71	14	62.46	p>0.05

Legend: N=number of subjects; mm= millimeters; p: t-Student test

Table 3. Comparison of the sides of the face (ex-ch), according to age

Age	N	Control group Mean (mm)	N	Mouth-breathing children Mean (mm)	p
Right side of face (ex-ch)					
7	48	60.67	31	60.93	p>0.05
8	52	61.67	22	63.78	p>0.05
9	50	62.88	21	64.72	p<0.05
10	54	63.69	12	65.38	p>0.05
11	50	64.34	14	65.97	p>0.05
Left side of face (ex-ch)					
7	48	59.85	31	61.11	p<0.05
8	52	60.80	22	63.78	p<0.05
9	50	61.85	21	64.45	p<0.05
10	54	63.05	12	65.39	p<0.05
11	50	63.75	14	65.40	p>0.05

Legend: N=number of subjects; mm= millimeters; p: t-Student test

The procedures used were those that increase the confidence of the orofacial measurements taken, such as proper identification of landmarks before the examination (Allanson, Cole, 1996; Farkas, 1994a, 1994b; Hunter, 1996; Ward et al., 2000; Ward, Jaminson, 1991). The landmarks used in the surface measurements were identified by palpation (Ward, Jaminson, 1991). Measurements were taken twice (Farkas, 1994a; Moore et al., 2001).

Only leukoderm children participated in this study, because differences in the orofacial morphology have been identified in studies with multi-racial samples (Borman et al., 1999; Choe et al., 2004; Enlow, Hans, 1998; Farkas et al., 1994b, 2000; Farkas, Deutsch, 1996; Le et al., 2002; Miyajima et al., 1996; Porter, 2004; Yokota, 2005). With respect to the exclusion criteria for the subjects of this study, all mouth-breathing children with history of orthodontic treatment were excluded, because there is not enough knowledge about the influence of the orthodontic devices on orofacial measurements in children with orofacial myofunctional disorders. However, previous research indicates that for children who met criteria to be included the control group without mouth breathing behaviors, the use of orthodontic devices did not show significant difference for the majority of orofacial measurements (Cattoni et al., 2005).

Results of this study indicated that the height of the upper lip (sn-sto) was statistically higher in mouth-breathing children than in control group, for 8 to 10 year olds. However, there is research that indicates that for mouth breathing individuals a short upper lip was noted (Di Francesco, 2003b; Jardini, 1999; Sleiman, 1999; Tessitore, 2004; Tsuji, Chung, 2003).

The mean height of the lower lip (sto-gn) from the mouth-breathing children was statistically higher than the control group, for 7 to 9 year olds. Supporting data is found in the literature (Bianchini, 1998b; Marchesan, 1998; Tomé et al., 1996).

For all ages, equal means of the length of the philtrum (sn-ls) was found in both populations. According to Farkas (1994a) the recommended procedure to collect orofacial measurements is with closed lips. This may be the reason that the means were equal in both populations. When using the anthroposcopy approach during a speech-language evaluation, the patients are not asked to keep the lips closed. Therefore, measurements for the upper lip (sn-sto) and the philtrum (sn-ls) may be smaller, because the mouth-breathing individual often presents with open lips (Andrade et al., 2005; Fonseca et al., 2005; Jardini, 1999; Junqueira et al., 2002; Krakauer, 2003; Marchesan, 1998, 2000; Rodrigues et al., 2005; Seikel et al., 2005; Tessitore, 2004). It is suggested that additional investigation

with different methods should be carried out to provide further clarification for this finding. Moreover, the heights of the upper face (tr-g) and the middle face (g-sn) were equal in both studied populations. For 7 to 9 year olds, the mean of the lower face (sn-gn) measurement was statistically higher in mouth-breathing children. This was also cited by Di Francesco (2003a), Marchesan (2000), Tessitore (2004) and Tsuji, Chung (2003).

The knowledge about orofacial measurements in children and their variations according to age may be helpful during an orofacial evaluation in determining the functional diagnosis as carried out by the speech-language pathologist. This approach provides quantitative data about the facial structures and the orofacial morphology of the patient.

There is a shortage of the anthropometric studies that investigate differences between orofacial measurements in mouth-breathing children and children who do not present with mouth-breathing. Therefore, the results of this research were also compared to the data for control groups from other studies that used an anthroposcopic approach (Bianchini, 1998a; Di Francesco, 2003a, 2003b; Jardini, 1999; Marchesan, 1998, 2000; Sleiman, 1999; Tessitore, 2004; Tomé et al., 1996; Tsuji, Chung, 2003). The possibility of comparing orofacial measurements of children with and without mouth-breathing behaviors allows the clinician to identify normal and altered structures. Then, anthropometry may provide quantitative data and an objective analysis. The main advantages of anthropometry are its non-invasive nature, its technological simplicity, and low cost. It

objectively allows the clinician to determine whether an individual face is within a "normal" range of variation. Anthropometric procedures have clinical applications in myofunctional assessment and therapy (Cattoni, 2006b).

This research has some limitations, because it compares two specific populations and the sample is relatively restricted. However, it may be considered an important step for future investigations in this area, which may then clarify the present results.

CONCLUSIONS

There were statistically significant differences in orofacial measurements for mouth-breathing children and the control group, according to age, except for the length of the philtrum (sn-ls), the height of the upper face (tr-g) and the height of the middle face (g-sn).

Finally, anthropometry is useful in speech-language assessment and supplements visual judgment with quantitative measurements (Cattoni, 2006b). Orofacial anthropometry has become an important tool used by orofacial myologists and it may serve as a powerful adjunct to the clinically trained eye. Orofacial anthropometry is gold standard in medical measurements. There are multiple descriptions of this technique; however, many clinicians do not have the resources to undertake their own anthropometric analysis. Therefore, results of this study help to establish critical standards necessary to demonstrate the scientific merits of this technique as an aid in further clarifying a diagnosis.

Contact person:

Débora Martins Cattoni

Speech Pathologist. Especialist in Orofacial Myology. Master and PhD. in Science/ Faculty of Medicine of University of Sao Paulo.

Address: Rua Barão da Passagem, 1330 apto. 91C – Sao Paulo – SP – 05087-000 - Brazil

Tel#: 011 55 11 3831-4080

E-mail address: dmcattoni@uol.com.br

Additional Authors:

Fernanda Dreux Miranda Fernandes

Speech Pathologist. Full-Professor of Speech and Hearing Pathology/ Faculty of Medicine of University of Sao Paulo.

Renata Cantisani Di Francesco

Otorhinolaryngologist. PhD. in Medicine/ Faculty of Medicine of University of Sao Paulo.

Maria do Rosário Dias de Oliveira Latorre

Statistician. Full-Professor of Epidemiology/ Faculty of Public Health of University of Sao Paulo.

Institutional affiliation: Faculty of Medicine of University of Sao Paulo.

Institutional review board: Ethics Committee of Hospital das Clínicas and Medical School of the University of Sao Paulo.

REFERENCES

Allanson, J.E. & Cole, T.R.P. (1996). Sotos syndrome: evolution of facial phenotype subjective and objective assessment. *Am J Med Genet.* 65, 13-20.

Andrade, F.V., Andrade, D.V., Araújo, A.S., Ribeiro, A.C.C, Deccax, L.D.G. & Nemr, K. (2005). Alterações estruturais de órgãos fonoarticulatórios e más oclusões dentárias em respiradores orais de 6 a 10 anos. *Revista Cefac.* 7(3), 318-25.

Bianchini, E.M.G. (1998a). Mastigação e ATM: avaliação e terapia. In: Marchesan, I.Q. *Fundamentos em fonoaudiologia - aspectos clínicos da motricidade oral.* Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 37-49.

Bianchini, E.M.G. (1998b). *A cefalometria nas alterações miofuncionais orais – diagnóstico e tratamento fonoaudiológico.* (4a Ed.) Carapicuíba: Pró-Fono.

Bianchini, E.M.G. (2000). Avaliação fonoaudiológica da motricidade oral: anamnese, exame clínico, o quê e por que avaliar. In: Bianchini, E.M.G. *Articulação temporomandibular: implicações, limitações e possibilidades fonoaudiológicas.* Carapicuíba: Pró-fono, 191-253.

Borman, H., Ozgur, F. & Gursu, G. (1999). Evaluation of soft-tissue morphology of face in 1,050 young adults. *Annals of Plastic Surgery.* 42(3), 280-8.

Budai, M., Farkas, L.G., Tompson, B., Katic, M. & Forrest, C.R. (2003). Relation between anthropometric and cephalometric measurements and proportions of the face of healthy young white adult men and women. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery.* 14(2), 154-61.

Cattoni, D.M. (2003a). *Exame fonoaudiológico: medidas faciais em crianças leucodermas sem queixas fonoaudiológicas* [dissertação]. São Paulo: Universidade de São Paulo.

Cattoni, D.M. (2003b). Avaliação quantitativa das estruturas orofaciais. In: Krakauer, L.H., Di Francesco, R.C. & Marchesan, I.Q. *Respiração oral.* São José dos Campos: Pulso, 81-8.

Cattoni, D.M. (2004). Alterações da mastigação e deglutição. In: Ferreira, L.P., Befi-Lopes, D.M. & Limongi, S.C.O. *Tratado de fonoaudiologia.* São Paulo: Roca, 277-91.

Cattoni, D.M. (2006a). O uso do paquímetro na avaliação da morfologia orofacial. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Fonoaudiologia.* 11(1), 52-8.

Cattoni, D.M. (2006b). O uso do paquímetro na motricidade orofacial: procedimentos de avaliação. Barueri: Pró-fono.

Cattoni, D.M. & Fernandes, F.D.M. (2004). Medidas e proporções faciais em crianças: contribuições para a avaliação miofuncional orofacial. Pró-fono. 16(1), 7-18.

Cattoni, D.M. & Fernandes, F.D.M. (2005). Comparação das medidas antropométricas faciais entre crianças brasileiras e norte-americanas. In: XIII Congresso Brasileiro de Fonoaudiologia, 2005, Santos. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Fonoaudiologia [CD-ROM]. (Supl especial).

Cattoni, D.M., Fernandes, F.D.M., Marchesan, I.Q. & Latorre, M.R.D.O. (2003) Medidas antropométricas faciais em crianças segundo períodos da dentição mista. Revista Cefac. 5(1), 21-29.

Cattoni, D.M., Fernandes, F.D.M., Andrade, C.R.F. & Latorre, M.R.D.O. (2005). Estudo sobre as medidas faciais em crianças: correlações com alteração de mordida e uso de aparelho ortodôntico. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Fonoaudiologia. 10(1), 1-6.

Choe, K.S., Sclafani, A.P., Litner, J.A., Yu, G.P. & Romo, T. (2001). The Korean American woman's face: anthropometric measurements and quantitative analysis of facial aesthetics. Archives of Facial Plastic Surgery. 6, 244-52.

Di Francesco, R.C. (2003a). Conseqüências da respiração oral. In: Krakauer, L.H., Di Francesco, R.C. & Marchesan, I.Q. Respiração oral. São José dos Campos: Pulso, 19-25.

Di Francesco, R.C. (2003b). Crescimento craniofacial e distúrbios da respiração oral do ponto de vista otorrinolaringológico. In: Krakauer, L.H., Di Francesco, R.C. & Marchesan, I.Q. Respiração oral. São José dos Campos: Pulso, 27-35.

Farkas, L.G. (1994a). Examination. In: Farkas, L.G., editor. Anthropometry of the head and face. (2nd ed). New York: Raven Press, 3-56.

Farkas, L.G. (1994b). Sources of error in anthropometry and anthroposcopy. In: Farkas, L.G., editor. Anthropometry of the head and face. (2nd ed). New York: Raven Press, 57-70.

Farkas, L.G. & Deutsch, C.K. (1996). Anthropometric determination of craniofacial morphology [editorial]. American Journal of Medical Genetics. (1), 1-4.

Farkas, L.G. & Posnick, J.C. (1992). Growth and development of regions units in the head and face based on anthropometric measurements. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 29(4), 301-2.

Farkas, L.G., Posnick, J.C. & Hreczko, T. M. (1992a). Growth patterns of the face: a morphometric study. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 29(4), 308-15.

Farkas, L.G., Posnick, J.C., Hreczko, T.M. & Pron, G.E. (1992b). Growth patterns of the nasolabial region: a morphometric study. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 29(4), 318-24.

Farkas, L.G., Hreczko, T.M. & Katic, M.J. (1994a). Craniofacial norms in North American Caucasians from birth (one year) to young adulthood. In: Farkas, L.G., editor. Anthropometry of the head and face. (2nd ed). New York: Raven Press, 241-312.

Farkas, L.G., Ngim, R.C.K & Venkatadri, G. (1994b). Racial and ethnic morphometry differences in the craniofacial complex. In: Farkas, L.G., editor. Anthropometry of the head and face. (2nd ed). New York: Raven Press, 201-18.

- Farkas, L.G., Hreczko, T.M., Katic, M.J. & Forrest, C.R.** (2003). Proportion indices in craniofacial regions of 284 healthy North American white children between 1 and 5 years of age. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery. 14(1), 13-28.
- Fonseca, R.A., Tucci, T.A., Rodrigues, R.C.L., Gomes, I.C.D. & Bianchini, E.M.G.** (2005). A correlação entre o ceceio frontal e o crescimento infantil. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Fonoaudiologia. 10(4), 211-7.
- Hunter, A.G.W.** (1996). Craniofacial anthropometric analysis in several types of chondrodysplasia. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 65, 5-12.
- Jardini, R.S.R.** (1999). Uso do exercitador labial: estudo preliminar para alongar e tonificar os músculos orbiculares orais. Pró-fono. 11(1), 8-12.
- Jardini, R.S.R.** (2005). Avaliação facial a partir da relação eletromiográfica e antropométrica do músculo bucinador. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Fonoaudiologia. 10(3), 161-7.
- Junqueira, P.** (2004) Avaliação e diagnóstico fonoaudiológico em motricidade oral. In: Ferreira, L.P., Befi-Lopes, D.M. & Limongi, S.C.O. Tratado de fonoaudiologia. São Paulo: Roca, 230-6.
- Junqueira, P.A.S., Di Francesco, R.C., Trezza, P., Zeratti, F.E., Frizzarini, R. & Faria, M.E.J.** (2002). Alterações funcionais do sistema estomatognático pré e pós-adenomigdalectomia. Pró-fono. 14(1), 17-22.
- Le, T.T., Farkas, L.G., Ngim, R.C.K., Levin, S. & Forrest, C.R.** (2002). Proportionality in Asian and North American Caucasian faces using neoclassical facial canons as criteria. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery. 26(1), 64-9.
- Lessa, F.C.R., Enoki, C., Feres, M.F.N., Valera, F.C.P., Lima, W.T.A. & Matsumoto, M.A.N.** (2005). Influência do padrão respiratório na morfologia craniofacial. Revista Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia. 71(2), 156-60.
- Marchesan, I.Q.** (1998). Avaliação e terapia dos problemas da respiração. In: Marchesan, I.Q. Fundamentos em fonoaudiologia - aspectos clínicos da motricidade oral. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 23-36.
- Marchesan, I.Q.** (2000). The speech pathology treatment with alterations of the stomatognathic system. International Journal of Orofacial Myology. 26, 5-12.
- Marchesan, I.Q.** (2004). Frênulo lingual: proposta de avaliação quantitativa. Revista Cefac. 6(3), 288-93.
- Miyajima, K., McNamara, J.A., Kimura, T., Murata, S. & Iizuka, T.** (1996). Craniofacial structure of Japanese and European-American adults with normal occlusions and well-balanced faces. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 110, 431-8.
- Moore, E.S, Ward, R.E, Jamison, P.L., Morris, C.A., Bader, P.I. & Hall, B. D.** (2001). The subtle facial signs of prenatal exposure to alcohol: an anthropometric approach. Journal of Pediatrics. 139(2), 215-9.
- Pierotti, S.** (2004). Atuação fonoaudiológica na estética facial. In: Comitê de Motricidade Orofacial – SBFa. Motricidade orofacial: como atuam os especialistas. Pulso: São José dos Campos, 281-7.
- Porter, J.P.** (2004). The average African American male face: an anthropometric analysis. Archives of Facial Plastic Surgery. 6, 78-81.

- Quintal, M., Tessitore, A., Paschoal, J.R. & Pfeilsticker, L.N.** (2004). Quantificação da paralisia facial com paquímetro digital. Revista Cefac. 6(2), 170-6.
- Rodrigues, K.A., Lefèvre, A.P., Mott, L.B., Tugumia, D. & Pena, P.L.** (2003). Análise comparativa entre o lado de predominância mastigatória e medidas da mandíbula por meio do paquímetro. Revista Cefac. 5, 347-51.
- Rodrigues, H.O.S.N., Faria, S.R., Paula, F.S.G. & Motta, A.R.** (2005). Ocorrência de respiração oral e alterações miofuncionais orofaciais em sujeitos em tratamento ortodôntico. Revista Cefac. 7(3), 356-62.
- Seikel, J.A., King, D.W. & Drumright, D.G.** (2005). Physiology of mastication and deglutition. In: Seikel, J.A., King, D.W. & Drumright, D.G. Anatomy & physiology for speech, language, and hearing. (3rd ed). New York: Thomson, 391-405.
- Shaner, D.J., Bamforth, S., Peterson, A.E. & Beattie, O.B.** (1998). Technical note: different techniques, different results - comparison of photogrammetric and caliper-derived measurements. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. 106(4), 547-52.
- Silva, H.J. & Cunha, D.A.** (2003). Considerações sobre o uso do paquímetro em motricidade oral. Fonoaudiologia Brasil. 59-64.
- Suguino, R., Ramos, A.L., Terada, H.H., Furquim, L.Z., Maeda, L. & Silva Filho, O.G.** (1996). Análise facial. Revista Dental Press Ortodontia e Ortopedia Maxilar. 1(1), 86-107.
- Tessitore, A.** (2004). Alterações oromiofuncionais em respiradores orais. In: Ferreira, L.P., Befi-Lopes, D.M. & Limongi, S.C.O. Tratado de fonoaudiologia. São Paulo: Roca, 261-76.
- Tsuji, D.H. & Chung, D.** (2003). Causas da obstrução nasal. In: Krakauer, L.H., Di Francesco, R.C. & Marchesan, I.Q. Respiração oral. São José dos Campos: Pulso, 91-100.
- Ward, R.E.** (1989). Facial morphology as determined by anthropometry: keeping it simple. Journal of Craniofacial Genetics and Developmental Biology. 9, 45-60.
- Ward, R.E. & Jamison, P.L.** (1991). Measurement precision and reliability in craniofacial anthropometry: implications and suggestions for clinical application. Journal of Craniofacial Genetics and Developmental Biology. 11, 156-64.
- Ward, R.E., Jamison, P.L. & Farkas, L.G.** (1998). Craniofacial variability index: a simple measure of normal and abnormal variation in the head and face. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 80(3), 232-40.
- Ward, R.E., Jamison, P.L. & Allanson, J.E.** (2000). Quantitative approach to identifying abnormal variation in human face exemplified by a study of 278 individuals with five craniofacial syndromes. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 91(1), 8-17.
- Yokota, M.** (2005). Head and facial anthropometry of mixed-race US Army male soldiers for military design and sizing: a pilot study. Applied Ergonomics. 36(3), 379-83.